# Managing unsealed road dust in NZ

Janet Petersen and Greg Haldane



New Zealand Government

# What do we know?

#### Road dust can have significant local effects

- Approximately 64,400km sealed and 30,900 km unsealed roads in NZ
- Nearly all NZTA roads are sealed
- Greatest length of unsealed roads in Canterbury (followed by Otago, Southland and Northland)
- Most recent 9 yrs 1,400km reduction in unsealed road length
- Greatest distance travelled by unsealed road in Northland followed by Canterbury, Otago and Southland
- Largest number of "buildings" next to unsealed roads also in Northland followed by Canterbury, Otago and Southland
- Large proportion of TSP in road dust
- Exceedances of NES near unsealed roads
- Potential impacts on health, amenity, and land productivity

RDOO2 - Length of road network by region (km)





# **Responsibilities**

### Unsealed roads are in local road networks

- Management of unsealed roads local council responsibility
- Funded through rates
- NZTA provides co-funding
- Councils must prioritise against a range of other factors





# **Funding/implementation**

Local councils manage local network with funding assistance from NZTA

- Local councils can receive funding for road dust mitigation
- Eligible under 'maintenance activity' classes
- Options could include dust suppression or sealing
- Assess using least cost whole of life net present value
- Funded either:
  - · Within existing allocated budget; or
  - Through cost scope adjustment
- Funding assistance is at rate relevant to the Council concerned



# **Criteria for funding**

### Based on effects and exposure

- Number of vehicles HDV and LDV (total up to 8 points)
- Speed of vehicles HDV and LDV (total up to 4 points)
- Number of dwellings per km (total up to 5 points)
- Other sensitive locations e.g. schools/maraes, ecologically sensitive areas, horticultural areas (total up to 6 points)
- Type of topography (up to 2 points)
- Rainfall (up to 2 points)
- Logging activity and duration (up to 2 points)





# **Decision making**

### Based on effects and exposure

| Total dust<br>risk score | Dust risk<br>category | Potential benefit from dust mitigation           | Action to be taken   |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 0 to 9                   | Low                   | Little or no benefit from mitigation.            | End of decision-making process.  |
| 10 to 19                 | Medium                | There may some benefit from mitigation.          | Return to and repeat the 'Site dust<br>risk factors and scores' with refined<br>site-specific information. |
| 20 to 29                 | High                  | There is likely to be a benefit from mitigation. | Complete assessment of suitable mitigation options.  |



### NZTA mapping of road dust risk

### **Trial completed**

- National risk assessment / cost
- Preliminary identification/prioritisation of risk areas regionally
- Refinement of risk scores

#### Next steps

- Refinement of building type (dwelling vs farm building) and speed parameters
- Add dust emissions model?
- Add dust exposure model?
- Include social (health) cost?





# NZTA mapping of road dust

### **Risk score distribution**





# NZTA mapping of road dust

**Funding implications** 





# **Mitigation options**

Based on traffic volume, weather, road construction, and how long mitigation is required

| Mitigation option           | Suitable traffic volume | Longevity of the dust mitigation option | Rainfall frequency and intensity   |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| Sealing the road            | High – unlimited        | 10+ years                               |  |
| Magnesium chloride          | Medium ~250 AADT        | Medium – three to four months           | Duration of effectiveness is<br>reduced in high rainfall<br>areas. Roadway can<br>become slippery. |
| Lignin sulphate             | Light <100 AADT         | Short – requires frequent refreshing    | Duration of effectiveness is reduced in high rainfall areas.                                       |
| Synthetic polymer emulsions | Light <100 AADT         | Short – requires frequent refreshing    | Duration of effectiveness is reduced in high rainfall areas.                                       |



# **Mitigation options**

Based on traffic volume, weather, road construction, and how long mitigation is required





### **Mitigation benefits – health and maintenance**

Fines (dust) retention can reduce unsealed road maintenance



Loss of fines (as dust) on an untreated road



Stable fines preservation on a treated road



# **Issues with funding**

Not much take up of funding....

- Possible issues with criteria
- Local funding has competing interests
- Potential issues with communication/understanding of impacts

